

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/787,227		LE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	KISHIN G. BELANI		2443	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KISHIN G. BELANI. (3) _____

(2) Ahsan A. Shaikh. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 66 and 75.

Identification of prior art discussed: Laurson et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Tonia L M Dollinger

/K. G. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 2443

/Tonia LM Dollinger/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2143

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims have been amended, thereby changing their scope. The amended claims were received by the examiner on the day of interview (09/24/2008) by fax and are not on his docket's incoming tab. Further search and consideration would be required to determine whether the claims are allowable or not. The examiner would proceed to search for the new art and consider the case as in the first action non-final office action. Since the amended claims are not considered to be ready for allowance by the examiner, the amended claims faxed by the applicants to the examiner on 09/24/2008 will not be entered.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/787,227 First Named Applicant: Bach H. Le
Examiner: Kishin G. Belani Art Unit: 2143 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Soyeon (Karen) Laub, 39,266 (2) Ahsan Shaikh, 61,861
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: September 24, 2008 Proposed Time: 1:00 AM/PM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejection</u>	<u>66, 84, 85</u>	<u>US 6,065,120</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	<u>US 6,480,894</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:

Applicants would like to propose claim amendments and discuss the current rejections over the prior art.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Ahsan A. Shaikh
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative
61,861

Registration Number, if applicable

/Kishin G. Belani/ Examiner, Art Unit 2443
Examiner/SPE Signature

Conia L. M. Dollinger
SPE A.U. 2443

**McDermott
Will & Emery**

Do Not Enter /K.G.B./

10/27/2008

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Munich
New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.

Strategic alliance with MWE China Law Offices (Shanghai)

FACSIMILE**Date:** September 24, 2008**Time Sent:** ..

To:	Company:	Facsimile No:	Telephone No:
Examiner Kishin G. Belani	United States Patent and Trademark Office	571.270.2768	571.270.1768
From:	Ahsan A. Shaikh	Direct Phone:	949.757.6304
E-Mail:	ashaikh@mwe.com	Direct Fax:	949.851.9348
Sent By:	Kimila Carraway	Direct Phone:	949.757.6007
Client/Matter/Tkpr:	049051-0221-10766	Number of Pages, Including Cover:	5

Re: **INFORMAL PAPER FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY**
U.S. Patent Application No. 10/787,227
For: SESSION MANAGER FOR SECURED REMOTE COMPUTING
Inventors: Bach H. Le, et al.
Our Reference: 049051-0221

Message:

Examiner Belani:

Please find attached hereto revised informal, proposed claim amendments and remarks, for discussion purposes only, in advance of our telephonic interview scheduled for:

Wednesday, September 24, 2008, at 3:00 PM (Eastern time)

Thank you,

Ahsan A. Shaikh, Reg. No. 61,861

ORC 446866-1.049051.0221

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copy of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the below address by mail. Thank you.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.
Main Facsimile: 949.851.9348 Facsimile Operator: 949.757.7100

U.S. practice conducted through McDermott Will & Emery LLP.

18191 Von Karman Avenue

Suite 500

Irvine, California 92612-7108

Telephone: 949.851.0633

INFORMAL PAPER
FOR DISCUSSION
PURPOSES ONLY

In re Application of: Bach H. Le, et al.
Application No.: 10/787,227
Filed: February 27, 2004

REMARKS

Please amend Claims 66-68, 70-72, 82, and 84-85, and cancel Claim 69 without prejudice or disclaimer, as set forth below. Support for the amended claims can be found throughout the originally filed claims and specification, particularly in paragraphs [53], [60]-[63], FIG. 3, and in Claims 73-79. The claim listing below replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims in the application.

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENTS

1-65. (Canceled).

66. (Currently Amended) A computing device for communicating with a remotely located computing device, the computing device comprising:

a computer-readable medium or media encoded with instructions to allow the computing device to perform the following tasks:

transmitting, from the computing device to the remotely located computing device, a lock session signal for a secure communications session upon an occurrence of a predetermined event, the lock session signal configured to restrict access to the communications session until the computing device receives an unlock session signal from the remotely located computing device from the computing device;

prompting a user at the computing device for identification information associated with the secure communications session; [[and]]

transmitting, from the computing device to the remotely located computing device, the identification information from the computing device; and receiving, at the computing device from the remotely located computing device, the unlock session signal if the identification information is authenticated,

INFORMAL PAPER
FOR DISCUSSION
PURPOSES ONLY

In re Application of: Bach H. Le, et al.
Application No.: 10/787,227
Filed: February 27, 2004

wherein the lock session signal locks a current communications session in process.

67. (Currently Amended) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is configured to facilitate communication of the secure communications session using a first communication channel and is configured to facilitate communication of the lock session signal, the unlock session signal, and the identification information using a second communication channel.

68. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 67, wherein the second communication channel is a Citrix® Independent Computing Architecture™ (ICA) Virtual Channel.

69. (Canceled)

70. (Currently Amended) A computing device according to Claim ~~[[69]]~~ 66, wherein the predetermined event is a lapse in a predetermined amount of time.

71. (Currently Amended) A computing device according to Claim ~~[[69]]~~ 66, wherein the predetermined event is an activation of a screen saver.

72. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is a personal computer.

73. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is an automated teller machine (ATM).

74. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is an industrial controller.

75. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is a gateway.

INFORMAL PAPER
FOR DISCUSSION
PURPOSES ONLY

In re Application of: Bach H. Le, et al.
Application No.: 10/787,227
Filed: February 27, 2004

76. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is an internet protocol (IP) telephone.

77. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is a thin client.

78. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is a personal digital assistant (PDA).

79. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is a cellular telephone.

80. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the identification information is not a shared screen saver password.

81. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is configured to allow a session management operation to be triggered locally using an application at the computing device, but executed at the remotely located computing device.

82. (Currently Amended) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein transmitting the lock session signal for the ~~secure~~ communications session allows the remotely located computing device to lock the session.

83. (Previously Presented) A computing device according to Claim 66, wherein the computing device is configured to facilitate a local lock at the computing device and a session lock at the remotely located computing device.

84. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium or media encoded with instructions for facilitating management of a ~~secure~~ communications session, the instructions comprising code for:

transmitting, from the computing device to the remotely located computing device, a lock session signal for a ~~secure~~ communications session upon an occurrence of a predetermined event, the lock session signal configured to restrict access to the

INFORMAL PAPER
FOR DISCUSSION
PURPOSES ONLY

In re Application of: Bach H. Le, et al.

Application No.: 10/787,227

Filed: February 27, 2004

communications session until the computing device receives an unlock session signal from the remotely located computing device from the computing device;

prompting a user at the computing device for identification information associated with the ~~secure~~ communications session; [[and]]

transmitting, from the computing device to the remotely located computing device, the identification information ~~from the computing device;~~ and

receiving, at the computing device from the remotely located computing device, the unlock session signal if the identification information is authenticated,

wherein the lock session signal locks a current communications session in process.

85. (Currently Amended) A method for facilitating management of a ~~secure~~ communications session from a remote computing device, comprising the steps of:

transmitting, from the computing device to the remotely located computing device, a lock session signal for a ~~secure~~ communications session upon an occurrence of a predetermined event, the lock session signal configured to restrict access to the communications session until the computing device receives an unlock session signal from the remotely located computing device from the computing device;

prompting a user at the computing device for identification information associated with the ~~secure~~ communications session; [[and]]

transmitting, from the computing device to the remotely located computing device, the identification information ~~from the computing device;~~ and

receiving, at the computing device from the remotely located computing device, the unlock session signal if the identification information is authenticated,

wherein the lock session signal locks a current communications session in process.

ORC 446862-2.049051.0221

Do Not Enter /K.G.B./

10/27/2008